

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/700,391	11/14/2000	Jurgen Bongs	02481.1716	3417
22852 7	7590 03/27/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			MARX, IRENE	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2002	フ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

Irene Marx

Application No. **09/700.391**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1651

Bongs et al.



Office Action Summary

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE one MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ______ 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 12-21 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) 8) 💢 Claims 12-21 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 20) Other:

Serial No. 09/700391 Art Unit 1651

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 11 drawn to a process for catalysis

Group II, claim(s) 12-21 drawn to a process of enzymatic extraction of biomolecules.

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

First, the inventions of groups I-II do not match a permitted category as PCT Rule 13.2 does not provide for multiple methods or products in one category. Two functionally distinct processes are claimed having distinct purposes, i.e., a process comprising a support and one or more enzymes to catalyze a complex reaction of large molecules and a process of extraction of peptides, proteins, oligosaccharides and polysaccharides, and insulin in particular.

No common inventive concept is shared among groups I and II since the compositions required for the processes as claimed are not functionally and physiologically the same.

In addition, a technical relationship is lacking among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features because immobilized enzymes for the production of proteins are known, as demonstrated by Lorenzen *et al.* or Eckstein *et al.*, of record. (See, e.g., Abstract). A common inventive concept is lacking between groups I and II in view of Lorenzen *et al.* or Eckstein *et al.* Therefore, the method of catalysis lacks those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior

Serial No. 09/700391 Art Unit 1651

art. Therefore, a technical relationship is lacking among the claimed inventions involving one or more special technical features.

The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as a separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches (as indicated by the different classification). The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate a species of the invention of Group I would not necessarily anticipate or make obvious the any of the other groups or species.

For these reasons restriction for examination purposes is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Trene Marx

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1651